

REMARKS

This communication is responsive to the Advisory Action mailed April 4, 2006. In response to the examiner's indication of allowable subject matter in the Office Action mailed January 12, 2006, the applicants have included the subject matter of claim 9 into claim 1. Claim 9 has been canceled. Also, in order to avoid claim 1 being a duplicate of allowed claim 10, the applicants have added a further limitation to the end of claim 1. The further limitation is the same as the subject matter of allowed claim 11. The applicants believe the amendments to claim 1 places the application in condition for allowance.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless the applicants have argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

Believing to have responded to each and every rejection contained in the Office Action mailed January 12, 2006, the applicants respectfully request the reconsideration and allowance of claims 1 – 4, 7, 8, and 10 - 24; thereby placing the application in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.
Law Department

Customer Number: 23125

By:



Daniel D. Hill
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 35,895
Telephone: (512) 996-6839
Fax No.: (512) 996-6854